TOWN OF EAST HADDAM MIDDLE SCHOOL CONVERSION COMMITTEE

December 6, 2010 SPECIAL MEETING

Adopted December 13, 2010

Reviewed during December 27, 2010 Meeting/Motion on page 3 was changed

Call to Order

The special meeting of the East Haddam Middle School Conversion Committee was called to order by Mrs. Malavasi on Monday, December 6, 2010 at 7:04 p.m. at the Town Grange.

Present: Mary Jane Malavasi, Craig Mansfield, Sharon Wheeler, David Nelson-seated for Jim Ventres, Chuck Smith, Patricia Stricker, Elizabeth Dillon, Melissa Ziobron, Ed Blaschik and Alan Hanks.

Others Present: David Stein-Silver/Petrucelli and Associates, Tom DiMauro-Newfield Construction, Al Howat-Newfield Construction, Paul Maxwell, First Selectman Mark Walter, Selectman Emmett Lyman, Bryan Perry, Dick Everett and Ruth Ziobron.

Absent: Jim Ventres.

Public Comment

There was none.

Presentation from Newfield Construction Value Engineering Recommendation Mr. DiMauro and Mr. Howat presented a revised Value Engineering Estimate dated 12/6/10 (Option #5).

The completion date on the revised estimate was reduced from 13 to 11 months. This was a conservative estimate however the start date could change the estimate. There would be no window replacement or geothermal heat. The heating and cooling system would be internal heat pumps with outside units which would be ground mounted condensing units. The landscaping estimate was reduced by ½. The garage foundation and Ray Building work is not included in the estimate. The estimate assumes future demolition of the Ray Building. Switching from a geothermal system to a conventional heating and cooling system saves \$700,000 to the mechanical line item and \$500,000 for the wells and does not take into consideration long term operating costs.

Discussion on Committees Recommendation to BOS

Committee members thanked Newfield Construction for their value engineering recommendations. Mrs. Malavasi voiced concern that the option did not incorporate programming. It was noted shifting of the space and consolidation could accommodate services such as the BOE, EOC and Food Bank. Mrs. Ziobron suggested adding the Geothermal System and garage as a possible 2nd or 3rd referendum question.

Mr. Stein stated the recommendations would require a new schematic phase and additional design fees. Mr. Stein cautioned the figures presented were not based on design documents. Mrs. Dillon questioned if 7 ½ % was a fair contingency amount without design documents. Mr. DiMauro and Mr. Stein replied yes.

Mrs. Ziobron suggested the committee make a recommendation this evening. Mrs. Ziobron noted the committee had no more money in their budget, funds for additional design or a contract with Newfield Construction. Mrs. Ziobron requested clarification of the process. Ziobron stated regardless of what happened to the project, the BOS would still be responsible for the PCB contamination and suggested the BOS further investigate this issue. Mrs. Stricker noted the PCB contamination was in the Ray section which would not be demolished by the committee. Selectman Lyman stated he was convinced that something would need to be done to the structure and that he was more comfortable with the figures presented this evening.

Mr. DiMauro stated the figures were not confirmed numbers until there were design documents and new estimates. Mr. DiMauro stated there would be additional fees necessary for the design documents and construction managers. Mrs. Malavasi stated of the importance of having these figures available to present to the BOS and BOF. Mr. Hanks suggested presenting Option #1 and asking the BOS and BOF for direction. Mrs. Ziobron stated she had confidence in the figures presented this evening to which Mr. Howat agreed; but that there could be discrepancy with unknown variables such as the asbestos removal. Mrs. Malavasi questioned the additional fees for the Construction Manager and Architect for Option #5. Mr. Stein replied \$50,000 in design and suggested spending an additional \$8,000 for PCB testing. Newfield Construction would cost an additional \$20,000. These figures can be made available for the next meeting. Mr. Stein reiterated Option #5 required a new design.

Mr. Nelson suggested adding the demolition of the Ray Building as a separate referendum question.

Mrs. Ziobron questioned the First Selectman Walter and Mr. Maxwell the process for the committee to make a recommendation. First Selectman Walter stated the Financial Needs Committee would have their first meeting on December 21st to review the needs assessment of the whole town. It is comprised of the First Selectman, members of the BOF, BOE and Planning and Zoning Commission. First Selectman Walter questioned the cost of the project if the façade of the Hale section was kept and a new interior was built. Mr. Stein replied there was a premium involved with keeping the façade and the costs would need to include the original demolition estimates.

Mrs. Malavasi asked First Selectman Walter for an answer to Mrs. Ziobron's question. First Selectman Walter replied no, until he knew what the needs of the town was he would not recommend this project forward. Mrs. Ziobron stated she wanted to know the process, not if he was in favor of the project. First Selectman Walter stated the committee would need to make a presentation with a recommendation. It would need to be approved at the BOF, BOS and town vote to get more money.

Mrs. Malavasi stated the committee would need to know all costs when making a recommendation to the BOS. Mr. Blaschik stated he could not vote for Option #5 because it was a totally new option which had never been presented to the public and had no schematic plans. Mrs. Ziobron questioned Mr. Blaschik what he would recommend being presented to the BOS. Mrs. Ziobron stated this would be the committee's last meeting unless they voted on a recommendation tonight to be presented to the BOS. Mrs. Stricker suggested offering the public a choice of Option #1 and Option #5. Mr. Hanks agreed. Mrs. Ziobron stated it would take months for the proposed Financial Needs Committee to make a recommendation. Mr. Nelson recommended Option #1 because it had already been presented to the public, noting of the importance of not confusing the public with new options. Mrs. Ziobron stated the public survey indicated the need for other options. Mrs. Malavasi stated at the last meeting, First Selectman Walter and Mr. Maxwell stated they would not support any dollar figure. Mrs. Malavasi stated trying to bring the dollar amount down probably wouldn't make a difference. Mr. Nelson stated 80% of the audience at the Public Hearing wanted the project to be brought to a referendum.

Motion by Mrs. Malavasi to recommend Option 1, Full Scope with Value Engineering (67,000SF Facility, 6240SF Garage) in the amount of \$12,821.214 to the BOS and BOF. Second by Mr. Mansfield.

Mr. Mansfield stated Option #1 was the only project which had final plans. Mrs. Ziobron stated although Option #5 did not have schematic design, the town could not afford Option #1 and that she could not support it. Mrs. Stricker stated she did not think the town would approve Option #1 and could not vote for it. Mr. Smith stated the committee only had Option #1 to bring forth to the BOS and BOF and had no more funds available to support design and construction services for another project. Mrs. Ziobron stated she believed the town would not support Option #1 at referendum and she believed support from the committee would jeopardize the future of a town office complex at the old middle school. She further stated that she was concerned that this may be their only shot in the near future of reuse of this building, supporting significantly less expensive alternatives would have a much better chance of passing at referendum.

Favor: Blaschik, Nelson, Mansfield, Wheeler, Smith, Malavasi. Oppose: Ziobron, Stricker, Dillon.

Motion passed.

Public Comment

Mr. Everett stated he was opposed to the project because the town could not afford it.

Next Meeting Date-December 13, 2010 7:00 PM Town Annex and Selectman's Meeting – December 15, 2010 7:00 PM Town Annex

Adjournment

Motion by Mrs. Ziobron to adjourn at 8:33 p.m. Second by Mr. Blaschik and unanimously passed.

Newfield

Newtield				
Hale / Ray Middle School	ol Conversion			
East Haddam, CT				
C D Estimate 9/27/2010				
Construction Document				
Estimate Cost Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total
General Requirements	1	341,200\$		L
TEMPORARY POWER		•	10,000.00\$	10,000\$
ALLOWANCE TEMPORARY HEAT / WINTER	<u> </u>	Ea	50,000.00\$	50,000\$
CONDITIONS	1	Ea	30,000.00\$	50,000\$
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS -		:	235,700.00\$	235,700\$
TRADES TEMPORARY BARRIERS	i 1 i 1	Ea Ea	45 500 00¢	45 500¢
Site Construction	i'	2,078,126\$	45,500.00\$	45,500\$
SITEWORK	1	Ea	731,148.50\$	731,149\$
SITE LIGHTING	In Electric	al	-\$ - \$	
1000 GAL SEPTIC SYSTEM	: See Altern	nate		12 500¢
SEPTIC SYSTEM 1500gal GEOTHERMAL WELLS	i' ! 1	Allowance	12,500.00\$ 500,000.00\$	12,500\$ 500,000\$
LANDSCAPING	1	Ea	44 895 00\$	44,895\$
ASBESTOS ABATEMENT	1 In Electric See Alterr 1 1 1 1	Est.	425,512.00\$	425,512\$
PORTABLE CLASSROOM REMOV REMOVE (1) UST	/AL : 1	By Others	. 10 000 00\$	10,000\$
CONTAMINATED SOIL	i'	Ea	10,000.00\$ 10,000.00\$	10,000\$
REMOVAL - OIL TANK	1	Est. Ea Ea		
DEMOLITION	1	Ea	298,910.75\$	298,911\$ 45,160\$
CUT / PATCH - M E P STRUCTURAL DEMOLITION	<u>i 1</u> : N A	La La	45,160.00\$	45,160\$
Concrete	<u> </u>	316,515\$	<u>i</u>	
CONCRETE	1	Ea	316,515.00\$	316,515\$
Masonry	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	326,730\$	35,000.00\$	35,000\$
MASONRY RESTORATION - ALLOWANCE	1	Ea	35,000.00\$	35,000\$
MISCELLANEOUS PATCHING	1	Ea Ea Ea	2,300.00\$	2,300\$
MASONRY - brick, block, precast	1	Ea 163,400\$	289,430.00\$	289,430\$
Metals STRUCTURAL /	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	163,400\$	159,800.00\$	159,800\$
MISCELLANEOUS	1	Ea		133,0004
EXPANSION JOINTS	1	Ea Ea	3,600.00\$	3,600\$
Wood & Plastics MISCELLANEOUS PATCHING	: 4	150,953\$	2 200 00¢	2,300\$
ROUGH CARPENTRY	: 1 : 1	Ea : Ea	2,300.00\$ 35,085.00\$	35,085\$
ROOF BLOCKING	1	Ea Ea Ea	37.518.00\$	37,518\$
FINISH CARPENTRY / TRIM	1	Ea	17,450.00\$ 58,600.00\$	17,450\$
MILLWORK Thermal & Moisture Protection		Ea 488,494\$	58,600.00\$	58,600\$
FASCIA / SOFFITS	: 1		15,000.00\$	15,000\$
INSULATION	1	Ea Ea Ea Est.	71,334.00\$	71,334\$
FIRESTOPPING	1	Ea	16,000.00\$	16,000\$
FIREPROOFING SEAL TOP OF WALL -	1	Est.	5,000.00\$ 20,000.00\$	5,000\$ 20,000\$
CORRIDORS	1	Ea	20,000.00φ	20,000φ
BITUMINOUS DAMPPROOFING	1	Ea	3,969.00\$	3,969\$
ROOFING CAULKING	<u> </u>	Ea Ea Est.	336,191.25\$ 21,000.00\$	336,191\$ 21,000\$
Doors & Windows	i'	EST. 558,884\$	· ∠1,000.00Φ	- 21,0004
DOORS, FRAMES, HARDWARE	1	p	158,250.00\$	158,250\$
VAULT DOOR	. 1	Ea Ea Ea	7,500.00\$	7,500\$
ALUMINUM CLAD WINDOWS ALUMINUM ENTRANCES,	1	Ea	249,684.00\$	249,684\$
STOREFRONTS	1	Ea	143,450.00\$	143,450\$
INTERIOR GLAZING	Included A	bove	<u>-\$</u>	
Finishes	F	674,012\$	T 400 000 000	400,0000
DRYWALL - FRAMING PLASTER PATCH	i 1 : 1	Ea Fot	168,900.00\$ 24,000.00\$	168,900\$ 24,000\$
ACOUSTICAL CEILINGS	1	Ea	170,390.36\$	170,390\$
FLOOR PREP / LEVEL	1	Ea	45.000.00\$	45,000\$
FLOORING	1	Ea	110,722.00\$	110,722\$
PAINT Specialties	<u>i. 1</u>	Ła	155,000.00\$	155,000\$
openantes		66,500\$		

TOILET ACCESSORIES				10.025.00\$	40.02F\$
TOILET ACCESSORIES	·· 			19,025.00\$ 31,050.00\$	19,025\$ 31,050\$
plastic	1	Ea		01,000.000	
VISUAL DISPLAY BOARDS	1	Ea Ea		1,500.00\$ 1,000.00\$	1,500\$ 1,000\$
FIRE EXTINGUISHERS,				1,000.00\$	1,000\$
CABINETS	1	Lot			
SIGNAGE CLOCK		Ea Ea		10,125.00\$	10,125\$
CLOCK		į ta		3,800.00\$	3,800\$
Newfield Hale / Ray Mid	dle School Conversion				
East Haddam, CT					
C D Estimate 9/27/2010			•••••	•••••	
Construction Document					
Estimate					
Cost Item	Quantity	Unit		Unit Cost	Total
Equipment			-\$		
PROJECTION SCREEN FOOD SERVICE EQUIPMENT		N A N A N A			
RESIDENTIAL APPLIANCES	-	N A N A			
Furnishings		V A	5,000\$	<u>i</u>	
MANUAL WINDOW TREATMENT		n Soft Costs	0,0000	: -\$	
FLOOR MATS		Est.		5,000.00\$	5,000\$
Special Construction	k	k	310,435\$		
Pre-Engineered Metal Building	1	Est.		282,000.00\$ 28,435.00\$	282,000\$ 28,435\$
Foundation	1	Est. Est.		28,435.00\$	28,435\$
Hoistina			15,000\$		
ELEVATOR UPGRADES	1	Est.		15,000.00\$	15,000\$
Mechanical			2,107,500\$		
HVAC	1	Ea		1,750,000.00\$	1,750,000\$
-\$ PLUMBING					
PLUMBING	<u> </u>	Ea		357,500.00\$	357,500\$
FIRE PROTECTION	<u>i</u>	N A	F	-\$	
Electrical			1,200,000\$		4 000 0000
ELECTRIC		Ea		1,200,000.00\$	1,200,000\$
TOTAL			8,802,750\$		
ESTIMATE CONTINGENCY		5.00%		440.137\$	
ESCALATION CONTINGENCY		5.00% D.50%		440,137\$ 46,214\$	
C M REIMBURSABLES	<u>-</u>		488,510\$		
C M A R CONTINGENCY		3.00%	L	293,328\$	
C M BOND			83,570\$		
C M FEE	:	1.60%		161,135\$	
PRECONSTRUCTION FEE			In Soft Cost		
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST			10,315,645\$		
PROJECT SOFT COSTS			2,622,250\$		
TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET			12,937,895\$		
Deduct Alternate 1: Eliminate In	terior Work at Ray Building		664,755\$ 430,435\$ 26,760\$		
Deduct Alternate 2: Delete Pre E		·	430,435\$		
			: 26.760%		
	liminate Turf Pavers Plains	Road	1 20,, 000		
OUR BUDGET IS BASED ON TH	E FOLLOWING:	Road			
OUR BUDGET IS BASED ON TH Contaminated / Hazardous Soil M	E FOLLOWING:	Road	: Excluded		
OUR BUDGET IS BASED ON TH Contaminated / Hazardous Soil M Rock Removal, Irrigation System	E FOLLOWING:	Road	Excluded Excluded		
OUR BUDGET IS BASED ON TH Contaminated / Hazardous Soil M Rock Removal, Irrigation System Lightning Protection	E FOLLOWING:	Road	Excluded Excluded Excluded		
OUR BUDGET IS BASED ON TH Contaminated / Hazardous Soil M Rock Removal, Irrigation System Lightning Protection Asbestos Monitoring	E FOLLOWING:	Road	Excluded Excluded Excluded In Soft Cost		
OUR BUDGET IS BASED ON TH Contaminated / Hazardous Soil M Rock Removal, Irrigation System Lightning Protection Asbestos Monitoring Well water treatment equipment	E FOLLOWING:	Road	Excluded Excluded Excluded In Soft Cost In Soft Cost		
OUR BUDGET IS BASED ON TH Contaminated / Hazardous Soil M Rock Removal, Irrigation System Lightning Protection Asbestos Monitoring Well water treatment equipment Security/Phone Systems	E FOLLOWING:	Road	Excluded Excluded Excluded In Soft Cost In Soft Cost Excluded		
OUR BUDGET IS BASED ON THE Contaminated / Hazardous Soil M Rock Removal, Irrigation System Lightning Protection Asbestos Monitoring Well water treatment equipment Security/Phone Systems Local Building Permit Fee	E FOLLOWING:	Road	Excluded Excluded Excluded In Soft Cost In Soft Cost Excluded Waived		
OUR BUDGET IS BASED ON THE Contaminated / Hazardous Soil M Rock Removal, Irrigation System Lightning Protection Asbestos Monitoring Well water treatment equipment Security/Phone Systems Local Building Permit Fee Repoint Entire Ray Building	E FOLLOWING:	Road	Excluded Excluded Excluded In Soft Cost In Soft Cost Excluded Waived Excluded		
OUR BUDGET IS BASED ON THE Contaminated / Hazardous Soil M Rock Removal, Irrigation System Lightning Protection Asbestos Monitoring Well water treatment equipment Security/Phone Systems Local Building Permit Fee	E FOLLOWING: aterial Removal	Road	Excluded Excluded Excluded In Soft Cost In Soft Cost Excluded Waived Excluded Included		

Respectfully Submitted;

E. Ruth Ziobron Recording Secretary